Case 1:20-cv-00905-BAK Documen	t 49 Filed 01/13/22 Page 1 of 2		
TINITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT		
01.11=2 2 1111			
EASTERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
WILLIAM JAMES WALLACE, II,	Case No. 1:20-cv-00905-BAK (PC)		
Plaintiff,			
v.	ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY ACTION SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED FOR FAILURE TO PROSECUTE		
CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF	21-DAY DEADLINE		
CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION, et al.,	21-DAT DEADLINE		
Defendants.			
Plaintiff William James Wallace, II,	("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and		
in forma pauperis in this civil rights action	under the Americans with Disabilities Act ("ADA").		
On October 4, 2021, Defendants California	Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation,		
Ourique, and Smith (collectively "Defendants") filed a motion for summary judgment. (ECF No.			
46.) Pursuant to Local Rule 230(l), and as explained in Defendants' Notice of Motion (id. at 1-2),			
Plaintiff had 21 days to file an opposition or	a statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion		
for summary judgment. To date, Plaintiff has failed to do so.			
Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Pl	aintiff, within 21 days, to show cause in writing why		
this action should not be dismissed for his f	oilure to proceedite. Alternativally, within that some		

Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff, <u>within 21 days</u>, to show cause in writing why this action should not be dismissed for his failure to prosecute. Alternatively, within that same time, Plaintiff may file an opposition or a statement of non-opposition to Defendants' motion for summary judgment.

Case 1:20-cv-00905-BAK Document 49 Filed 01/13/22 Page 2 of 2

1	Failure to comply with this order will result in a recommendation that this case be	
2	dismissed for failure to prosecute.	
3		
4	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
5	Dated: January 13, 2022 /s/ Barbara A. McAuliffe	
6	UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDG	E
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		